

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/681,304	03/15/2001	Richard Joseph Saia	RD-28435	9545	
6147	7590 08/06/2003				
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY GLOBAL RESEARCH CENTER PATENT DOCKET RM. 4A59 PO BOX 8, BLDG. K-1 ROSS			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, DILINH P		
NISKAYUNA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2814		
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		SAIA ET AL.	1				
Office Action Summary	09/681,304		PN_				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner Diline Names	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	DiLinh Nguyen	2814	'ess				
Period for Reply		on coponacinos addi					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 N	fav 2003 .						
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.						
		rosecution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8,16 and 19</u> is/are pending in the							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8 and 16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		minor					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acception and acception acception to the acception to the acception to the acception to the acception acceptance acceptanc							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		y the Examiner	•				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/	a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under do d.d.d. 3 1 rote	2) (d) 01 (l).					
	s have been received						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			tane				
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		lago				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro							
Attachment(s)		•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/681,304

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gorowitz et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,757,072) in view of Noddin (U.S. Pat. 5731047) and further in view of Li et al. (U.S. Pat. 6499214).

Gorowitz et al. disclose the device (cover fig. and figs. 3a-3d, column 8, lines 20 et seq.) comprising:

an adhesive layer 30 to attach a Kapton polyimide film 32 (column 9, lines 18-24) to a dielectric film 28;

providing a cavity 16r and extending through the Kapton polyimide film 32 and at least partially through the dielectric layer 28;

removing the film 32 (fig. 3b, column 9, lines 18-24);

attaching the semiconductor chip 12 to the dielectric layer 28 with an air bridge structure 12c being positioned with in the cavity. Gorowitz et al. disclose the claimed invention except not specifically pointing out the adhesive layer 30 is a partially-cured adhesive.

Noddin discloses a semiconductor device comprising: using a partially-cured adhesive (column 31, lines 10-15) and it is well known in the art to use the partially-

Application/Control Number.

Art Unit: 2814

cured adhesive to provide the secure bonding for the device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Gorowitz et al. as shown by Noddin to provide the secure bonding for the device.

Gorowitz et al. and Noddin fail to disclose the cavity having a smooth surface perimeter.

Li et al. disclose a semiconductor device (figs. 5c-5e) comprising: using a adhesive 204 to attach a release sheet 210 to a member layer 202, providing a cavity having a smooth surface perimeter and extending through the release sheet and at least partially through the member layer 202; removing the release sheet 210 (fig. 5e, column 12, lines 53 et seq.). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Gorowitz et al. and Noddin to increase the overall electronic circuit density of the created and produced circuit board assembly, as shown by Li et al.

- Regarding claims 2 and 16, Gorowitz et al. disclose providing vias through the
 dielectric layer (figs. 3c-3d) extending to connection pads of the chip 12 and
 applying a pattern of electrical conductors to the connection pad (cover fig.).
- Regarding claim 3, Gorowitz et al. disclose using the adhesive to attach the Kapton polyimide film 32 to the package, coating the dielectric layer 28 with the adhesive 30.
- Regarding claim 4, Gorowitz et al. disclose attaching the chip comprises using the adhesive (fig. 3c, column 9, lines 25-37).

Regarding claim 6, it would have been conventional to one having ordinary skill
in the art wherein the adhesive comprises a mixture of photodielectric and epoxy
materials.

3. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gorowitz et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,757,072) in view of Noddin (U.S. Pat. 5731047) and further in view of Scherer (U.S. Pat. 4633573).

Gorowitz et al. and Noddin disclose the claimed invention except for not providing a protective coating in the cavity, and wherein the protective coating comprising a hermetic seal MEMS package flexible layer.

Scherer discloses a semiconductor device comprising:

a protective coating in a cavity, and wherein the protective coating comprising a hermetic seal for the package device (column 10, lines 4-7 and 22-26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Gorowitz et al. and Noddin to protect the microcircuit from the high temperatures required and to form a highly reliable, durable seal, while also insulating the microcircuit during the process of applying the non organic coating, as shown by Scherer.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/681,304

Art Unit: 2814

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DiLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-

6983. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 6:00PM (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722

for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

DLN

July 23, 2003

SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800